

2 courses of consolidation chemotherapy were 81.1/41.3 %, 41.4/29.7 % and 59.7/50.0 %, respectively. Major toxicities were as follows; G4 neutropenia in MVP, IC, PC were 76.9, 13.1, 4.2 % ( $p < .001$ ), and G3-4 non-hematological toxicities (decrease in PS, and febrile neutropenia) were 13.3, 6.2, 4.2 % ( $p = .01$ ), and 29.4, 6.9, 4.9 % ( $p < .001$ ), respectively. The overall response rates were 65.7 % (95%CI 57.9-73.5), 58.6 % (95%CI 50.5-66.1) and 62.9 % (95%CI 55.0-70.8), in MVP, IC and PC, respectively. Complete analysis will be fixed in Oct 2008.

**Conclusions:** Weekly PC with TRT appears good compliance with high achievement rate and MVP appears poor compliance with severe hematological and non-hematological toxicities.

### C3-04 Combined Modality Therapy in NSCLC II, Wed, 10:30 - 12:15

#### Phase III study comparing a preoperative (PRE) and a perioperative (PERI) chemotherapy (CT) with two different CT regimens in resectable stage I-II non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): the IFCT 0002 protocol

Depierre, Alain.<sup>1</sup> Milleron, Bernard<sup>2</sup> Quoix, Elisabeth<sup>3</sup> Puyraveau, Marc<sup>4</sup> Braun, Denis<sup>5</sup> Breton, Jean-Luc<sup>6</sup> Bigay-Game, Laurence<sup>7</sup> Pujol, Jean-Louis<sup>8</sup> Morin, Franck<sup>9</sup> Westeel, Virginie<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Besançon, Université de Franche-Comté, Besançon, France <sup>2</sup> Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Tenon, AP-HP, Paris, France <sup>3</sup> Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France <sup>4</sup> Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Besançon, Besançon, France <sup>5</sup> Centre Hospitalier de Briey, Briey, France <sup>6</sup> Centre hospitalier de Montbéliard-Belfort, Belfort, France <sup>7</sup> Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Toulouse, Toulouse, France <sup>8</sup> Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Montpellier, Montpellier, France <sup>9</sup> Intergroupe Francophone de Cancérologie Thoracique (IFCT), Paris, France

**Background:** The association of surgery and chemotherapy is now a standard in stages IA-III. The primary objective of this trial was to define the best timing of CT (all before surgery versus perioperative). Another objective was to compare two regimens, gemcitabine-cisplatin (GP) and paclitaxel-carboplatin (TC) (GP: Gemcitabine 1250 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/d1, 8 and cisplatin 75 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/d1 q3 wk; TC: Paclitaxel 200 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/d1 and carboplatin AUC 6, q3 wk).

**Methods:** 528 stage I-II resectable NSCLC were randomized to 4 parallel arms: A: 2 GP + in responders, 2 GP, then surgery, B: 2 GP + surgery + in responders, 2 GP, C: 2 TC cycles + in responders, 2 TC then surgery, D: 2 TC + surgery + in responders, 2 TC. Quality of life was evaluated with the EORTC QLQ C30 - LC13 questionnaire at days 1, 42 and 147. Results were analyzed two by two: **PRE** (A+C) versus **PERI** (B+D) and **GP** (A+B) versus **TC** (C+D).

**Results:** 1) The addition of 2 additional preoperative CT cycles in responders did not influence tumor volume, intratumoral necrosis, pleural, venous or intrapulmonary lymphatic invasions. Pathological complete response rates were not statistically different (PRE: 6.3%, PERI: 7.6%, GP: 8.2%, TC: 5.6%). Objective responses were similar (PRE: 50.6%, PERI: 50.9%, GP: 52.2%, TC: 49.2%) 2) 30-day postoperative mortality were identical whether the patient received 2 or 4 cycles before surgery. Similarly, iatrogenic mortality at 6 months did not differ with the number of preoperative CT cycles (PRE: 3%, PERI: 3.21%). The main toxicities differs between GP and TC only for G  $\geq$  2 neuropathy at 6 months (GP: 6.5%, TC: 24.4%,  $p < 10^{-3}$ ) and G  $\geq$  2 nausea (GP: 22.17%, TC: 4.22%,  $p < 10^{-3}$ ). 3) Proportions of pts receiving cycles 3 and 4 were higher when they were given before surgery than after surgery (PRE: 90.4%, PERI: 75.2 %,  $p = .0011$ ). Percentages

of non operated pts after CT were identical in both groups (PRE: 4.5%, PERI: 4.3%). 4) There was no difference in Quality of Life between the 4 groups. At 6 months, decrease of health status, different functioning and symptoms did not differ between the 4 arms in responding pts (except for alopecia).

**Conclusions:** 1- GP and TC were both effective and safe, although with different toxicity profiles. 2- Results of pathological response suggested that 2 preoperative cycles might be as effective as 4 cycles. 3- Dose intensity was higher when all chemotherapy was given before surgery compared to both before and after surgery. 4- Quality of life decrease in the same proportions in each group within the 6 months after randomization.

### C3-05 Combined Modality Therapy in NSCLC II, Wed, 10:30 - 12:15

#### Chemotherapy (CT) in addition to surgery or surgery plus radiotherapy (RT) in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): Two meta-analyses using individual patient data (IPD) from randomised controlled trials (RCTs)

Burdett, Sarah<sup>1</sup> Arriagada, Rodrigo<sup>2</sup> Stewart, Lesley<sup>1</sup> Pignon, Jean-Pierre<sup>3</sup> Le Pechoux, Cecile<sup>2</sup> Tierney, Jayne<sup>1</sup> Tribodet, Helene<sup>3</sup> On Behalf Of The Nsclc Collaborative Group, .<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Meta-analysis Group, MRC Clinical Trials Unit, London, UK <sup>2</sup> Radiation Oncology Department, Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France <sup>3</sup> Meta-analysis Unit, Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France

**Background:** A previous IPD meta-analysis (BMJ 1995;311:899) that suggested cisplatin-based CT may have a role in the treatment of NSCLC has been updated. This includes RCTs, regimens and outcomes that were not available in 1995. The meta-analysis examines the role of CT in 7 treatment comparisons. Here we report on Comparison 1: surgery + CT versus surgery alone and Comparison 3: surgery + RT + CT versus surgery + RT.

**Methods:** RCTs were identified by comprehensive search strategies. Updated IPD were collected, checked and re-analysed. Results from RCTs were combined using the stratified (by trial) logrank test to calculate individual and pooled hazard ratios (HRs).

#### Results: Comparison 1

IPD were obtained from 30 RCTs and 8147 patients, representing 95% of all known randomised patients, adding 18 RCTs and 5835 patients to the 1995 analyses. Median follow-up is 5.3 years. 15 RCTs used a cisplatin combination without Tegafur/Tegafur+Uracil (UFT), 8 RCTs used Tegafur/UFT without cisplatin and 7 RCTs used Tegafur/UFT and cisplatin. There is a significant benefit of CT on survival (HR=0.86, 95% CI 0.81-0.93,  $p < 0.0001$ ), with an absolute benefit of 4% (from 60% to 64%) at 5 years. Results were similar for recurrence-free survival (HR=0.83, 95%CI 0.77-0.91,  $p < 0.0001$ , 14 RCTs) local (HR=0.76, 95% CI 0.66-0.87,  $p < 0.0001$ , 12 RCTs) and distant recurrence-free interval (HR=0.83, 95% CI 0.74-0.93,  $p = 0.001$ , 12 RCTs). Comparison 3

IPD were obtained from 11 RCTs and 2,626 patients (12% with incomplete resection), representing 86% of all known randomised patients, adding 5 RCTs and 1956 patients to the 1995 analysis. Median follow-up is 6.3 years. 10 RCTs used sequential radio-chemotherapy, 8 RCTs used cisplatin + vinca alkaloid/etoposide, 1 used cisplatin + Tegafur and 2 used other cisplatin regimens. There is a significant benefit of CT on overall survival (HR=0.88, 95% CI 0.80-0.96,  $p = 0.0062$ ), with an absolute benefit of 5% (from 29% to 34%) at 5 years. Results were similar for recurrence-free survival (HR=0.84, 0.77-0.93,  $p = 0.0006$ ,